Ring-Opening Reactions. The Reactivity of Pyrrolidinium and **Piperidinium Ions in Solution**

Giorgio Cerichelli,^a Luciana Luchetti^b

aCentro CNR di Studio sui Meccanismi di Reazione, Università degli Studi di Roma "La Sapienza", P le Aldo Moro 5, 00185 Roma, Italy

bDipartimento di Scienze e Tecnologie Chimiche, Università degli Studi di Roma "Tor Vergata", Via della Ricerca Scientifica, 00133 Roma, Italy

(Received in UK 28 July 1993, accepted 17 September 1993)

Abstract In this paper we report data on the reactivity of 1-phenyl-pyrrolidinium and -piperidinium iodides, and indolinium and tetrahydroquinolinium iodides, with MeO in methanol and compare them with the corresponding 1,1-dimethylazoniacycloalkane iodides

Many studies¹ have been published dealing with the reactivity of a series of 1,1-dimethyl cyclic ammonum ions The reaction of these compounds with nucleophiles consists of three parallel transformations, two substitution reactions and one elimination reaction The second-order overall rate constant and the product distribution depend on the ring strain energy of the substrate and on the ability to attain the configuration required in the transition state by the reaction mechanism

We have studied the reactivity of 1-methyl-1-phenylpyrrolidinium iodide (1) and 1-methyl-1phenylpiperidinium iodide (2) with sodium methoxide in MeOH Whatever is the reaction involved, the product is an aniline derivative in which there is a mesomeric effect between the nitrogen lone pair and the aromatic ring This conjugation should influence the reaction rate and the product distribution

We have also studied the reactivity of 1,1-dimethylindolinium iodide (3) and 1,1-dimethyl-1,2,3,4tetrahydroquinolinium iodide (4) In these compounds the benzocondensation causes an additional ring strain and a different steric hindrance on the electrophilic atom

In order to evaluate the effect of a phenyl group in an S_N2 reaction apart from ring strain and steric effects, we have chosen N,N,N-trimethylanilinium iodide (5) as a model compound

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

When the substrates under investigation react with MeO⁻, they follow a pathway that includes two substitution reactions **(A, B)** and one elimination reaction (C), according to the following Scheme.

The prevalence of a reaction with respect to another depended on the steric and electronic properties of the substrate, because we did not vary either the nucleophile or the solvent.

In Table 1 we report the product distribution for the reaction of **1 -** 4 with MeO- in MeOH and compare these data with that of 1, I-dimethylpyrrolidinium iodide (6) and l,l-dimethylpiperidinium iodide (7), reported in literature.^{1a} The substrates were investigated at 90 $^{\circ}$ C; we also studied 1 and 2 at 130 $^{\circ}$ C, since the data available on 6 and 7 were at 130" C. In this way we made it possible to investigate the influence of a phenyl group on these reactions.

+ Product not detected by GC analysis. ^{*i*}A, demethylation; **B**, ring-opening substitution; **C**, ring-opening elimination. *iiData* **from Ref. la.**

The experiments showed that the temperature did not greatly affect the product distribution. 1 undergoes

only substitution reactions, with the ring opening (B) predominant over demethylation (A) 1 does not follow reaction C probably because it cannot reach the conformation required by an E2 mechanism The presence of the phenyl group changes the product distribution only slightly relative to 6 for the complete disappearance of $reaction C$

The main product from 2 is 1-phenylpipendine $(2a)$ in which the azocycloalkane ring is preserved A sixmembered nng is strainless and 2 is not lead toward a ring-opening reaction In spite of that, a small percentage of N-S-methoxypentyl-N-methylamhne **(2b)** and N-methyl-N+pentenylamhne (2~) are present If we compare the product distribution from 2 with that from 7 , we note that the percentage of elimination decreases with a consequent reduction of demethylation A similar effect was also observed in the Hofmann degradation of ammonium hydroxide 2 It appears that the replacement of a N-methyl with a N-phenyl group causes an Inhlbltory effect on p-ehmmatlon relative to substltutlon The product dlstnbutlon from **1** and 2 IS quite different because the mam product from **1 IS** N-4-methoxybutyl-N-methylamhne **(lb),** a ring-opemng product, and from 2 is 2a This effect was already observed with 6 and $7¹$ The different strain energy between 1 and 2 can be, at least partially, responsible for ths behavtour Unfortunately, data on stram energy of cychc ammonium ions are not available but they should not largely differ from the corresponding cycloalkane because both are entirely formed from tetrahedral atoms The stram energy of cyclopentane and cyclohexane are 7 3 and 1.4 kcal mol⁻¹, respectively 3 Another aspect to take into account is the stenc requirement of the approaching nucleophile In reaction B of five-membered rings, MeO⁻ can follow a pathway that is not hindered while in six-membered rings it is partially hampered by the C-H equatorial bond of the carbon atom in position 2

| | 104 k | | | |
|------------------|----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Substrate | 90° C | 130° C | | |
| 1 | 210 | 4710 | | |
| 2 | 256 | 740 | | |
| 3 | 8 2 9 | | | |
| 4 | 5 0 6 | | | |
| 5 | 5 4 0 | | | |
| 61 | | 380 | | |
| 71 | | 0702 | | |

TABLE 2. Second-Order Overall Rate Constant k (M⁻¹·s⁻¹) for the Reaction of 1 - 7 with Sodium Methoxide in Methanol at 90° and 130° C

^IData from Ref 1a

3 gves two products, I-methyhndohne **(3a)** and N,N-dnnethyl-2-(2-methoxyethyl)amhne **(3b)** The absence of reaction C in spite of the fact that the product would be a conjugated alkene underlines again the Importance of conformatlonal requirements of an E2 4 produces only l-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroqumohne (4a) The complete disappearance of reaction C should not be ascribed to conformational effect because 4 is in a half-chair conformation in which β-hydrogen atoms are in a suitable position ² Evidently, the additional strain induced by the benzocondensation is not enough to carry toward an open chain product In fact, even if strain energy data of these compounds and of the corresponding hydrocarbons are not available, we can compare 3 and 4 with cycloalkenes Strain energies of cycloalkenes relative to force-field calculation show that the energy of cyclopentene is -0 60 kcal mol⁻¹ with respect to cyclopentane and that of cyclohexene 0 86 kcal mol⁻¹ with respect to cyclohexane ⁴ These differences are not large and the effect on the reactivity is almost negligible

In Table 2 we report the second-order overall rate constants and in Table 3 the partial rate coefficients for the reaction of substrates 1 - 7 with MeO⁻ in MeOH Taking into consideration the partial rate coefficients it is possible to make a comparison that overcomes statistical factors The partial rate coefficients of the demethylation reaction (k_A) at 90° C are nearly independent of the substrate, the largest change in rate involving a factor of 1 8 An analogous effect was observed in the series of cyclic N,N-dimethylammonium ions in which the demethylation rate did not depend on ring size These data are not surprising because the factors that influence an S_N2 reaction are the steric hindrance on the site of substitution and on the leaving group ability, under the same nucleophile and solvent These effects are very similar in the substrates under investigation and, consequently, kA does not greatly vary

| | 104 k | | | | | | |
|------------------|----------------|-----|-----|-----------------|------|---------|--|
| | 90° C | | | 130° C | | | |
| Substrate | ١A | iB | łС | iΑ | iB | iС. | |
| 1 | 32 | 89 | | 940 | 1900 | | |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 25 | 003 | 001 | 690 | 15 | 11 | |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 18 | 46 | | | | | |
| 4 | 2 ₅ | | | | | | |
| 5 | 18 | | | | | | |
| 6 ⁱⁱ | | | | 03 | 16 | 0 0 2 1 | |
| 7 ⁱⁱ | | | | 03 | 0014 | 0030 | |

TABLE 3. Second-Order Rate Constants for the Individual Reactions (Partial Rate Coefficients) k $(M^{-1} \cdot s^{-1})$ of Substrates 1 - 7 with Sodium Methoxide in Methanol at 90° and 130° C

¹A, demethylation, ¹B, ring-opening substitution, ¹C, ring-opening elimination ¹¹Data from Ref 1a

In spite of the different product distribution, 1 and 3 show a similar reactivity, with a ratio kB(1)/ k_A (1) = 2 8 and $k_{B(3)}/k_{A(3)} = 2$ 6, so the different product distribution only derives from a statistical effect because 1 and 3 differ in equivalent reaction sites, in fact in 1 there are one N-CH₃ and two N-CH₂ groups, while in 3 there are one N-CH₂ and two N-CH₃ groups This effect can also justify the disappearance of reaction **B** and C **in 4**

The effect of the phenyl group on the reactivity of cyclic ammonium ions can be examined by comparing k_{A} , and k_{B} , in 2 and 7 The acceleration for A is larger than that for B, being $k_{A(2)} / k_{A(7)} = 2300$ and $k_{B(2)}/k_{B(7)} = 1070$ This could not be ascribed to a transition state in which the C-N bond breaking in A is

more advanced than in **B**, in order to undergo a larger conjugation effect, because the degree of C-N bond breaking should be more advanced in **B** than in **A** In fact, in the transition state, the C-N bond breaking does not depend on the leaving group ability, but on the nucleophile and on the substitution on the carbon atom ⁵ In the reactions under investigation, being the nucleophile the same, the substitution on the carbon atom would favour the C-N bond breaking in B relative to A, because the transition state of B is more crowded than A On the other hand, the delocalization is effective only if the angle between the incipient lone pair and the aromatic Π orbitals is small The conformation of 2 is unknown but it is reasonable to suppose that the azomacycloalkane and the aromatic system he on the same plane as much as possible, in order to minimise the stenc hindrance between the aromatic ring and the axial hydrogen atoms of the azoniacycloalkanic ring In this conformation the incipient lone pair in reaction A will be in a more suitable position for the conjugation than in B and consequently the phenyl group will speed the demethylation more than the ring-opening substitution

EXPERIMENTAL

NM R spectra were recorded on a Brucker WP-80 spectrometer UV spectra were recorded on a Vanan 210 spectrophotometer GC! analyses were performed wrth a Carlo Erba HRGC 5300 **Mega Senes** Instrument, using a SPB-35, 30 m \times 0 25 mm capillary glass column GC/MS analyses were performed with a VG q mass spectrometer, using the same column

Amines

1 -Phenylprperrdme (2a) was prepared as reported m hterature 6 1 -Phenylpyrrohdme **(la) was** prepared as described for **2a**, and compared with literature data ⁷ 1,2,3,4-Tetrahydroquinoline and indoline were commercial (Aldrich)

Ammomum salts

l-Methyl- 1 -phenylpyrrohdmmm lo&de **(l),** 1 -methyl- 1 -phenylptpendtmum rodrde (2), 1,l &methylmdohmum rodrde (3) and l,l-dnnethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroqumohmum mdrde (4) were prepared by alkylatron of the correspondmg amme wrth methyl Iodide (Carlo Erba) 111 benzene Yields were up to 85% Structure assignments were made on the basis of ${}^{1}H$ N M R spectroscopy and, where available, on comparing with hterature data **1** δ (CDCl₃) 7 82 - 7 57 (5H, m, C₆H₅), 4 32 - 4 19 (2H, m, CH₂), 4 12 - 3 96 (2H, m, CH₂), 3 45 (3H, s, CH₃), 2 50 - 2 45 (4H, m, CH₂) 2^6 δ (CDCl₃) 7 83 - 7 62 (5H, m, C₆H₅), 4 40 (2H, d, CH₂), 3 79 (2H, t, CH₂), 3 43 (3H, s, CH₃), 2 06 - 1 90 (2H, m, CH₂), 1 88 - 1 65 (4H, m, CH₂) 3 8 δ (CDCl₃) 7 95 -7.45 (4H, m, C₆H_d), 4 58 (2H, t, CH₂), 3 92 (6H, s, CH₃), 3 47 (2H, t, CH₂) 4.⁹ 8 (CDCl₃) 7 89 - 7 52 (4H, m, C₆H_a), 4 01 (2H, t, CH₂), 3 70 (6H, s, CH₃), 3 15 (2H, t, CH₂), 2 42 (2H, m, CH₂) N,N,N-Trimethylamlimum iodide (5) was commercial (Aldrich)

Product distribution

The reactrons were camed out wrth MeONa m MeGH m sealed vrals for 24 h at 90' C and, for **1 and** 2 only, at 130° C The product analysis was carried out by the GC method on the vial content The identification of the compounds was carned out by comparison wrth authentrc samples, where avarlable, ather purchased $(5a, Aldrich)$ or synthesised $(1a, 1b, 2a, 2b, 2c, 3a, ¹⁰ 4a, ⁹)$, or by GC/MS spectroscopy $(3b)$

N-4-Methoxybutyl-N-methylatulme **(lb) lb wag** prepared by followmg a three-step procedure I-Bromo-4~chlorobutane (Aldrich) was converted to 4-chlorobutyl methyl ether by treatment wtth sodtum methoxide in MeOH and then to 4-iodobutyl methyl ether with sodium iodide in acetone 1b was obtained by reacting 4-iodobutyl methyl ether and N-methylaniline (Aldrich) in EtOH at refluxing temperature for 48 h ¹H NMR δ (CDCl₃) 7 78 - 6 60 (5H, m, C₆H₅), 3 35 (2H, t, OCH₂), 3 28 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3 27 (2H, t, NCH₂), 2 91 (3H, s, NCH₃), 2 10 - 1 14 (4H, m, CH₂) N-5-Methoxypentyl-N-methylaniline (2b) 2b was prepared by as described for 1b, using 1-bromo-5-chloropentane (Aldrich) ¹H N M R δ (CDCl₃) 7 25 - 6 40 (5H, m, C_6H_5), 3 30 (2H, t, OCH₂), 3 23 (2H, t, NCH₂), 2 83 (3H, s, NCH₃), 1 55 - 1 10 (6H, m, CH₂) N-Methyl-N-4-pentenylaniline (2c) 2c was obtained by reacting 5-bromo-1-pentene (Fluka) in EtOH at refluxing temperature for 48 h ¹H N M R δ (CDCl₃) 7 40 - 6 44 (5H, m, C₆H₅), 6 15 - 5 51 (1H, m, CH), 5 22 - 4 77 (2H, m, CH₂), 3 48 - 3 11 (2H, t, NCH₂), 2 88 (3H, s, NCH₃), 2 33 - 1 48 (4H, m, CH₂) 3b M/z (%) 179 (37), 164 (57), 148 (45), 134 (100), 120 (14), 119 (16), 118 (54), 117 (40), 77 (25), 65 (27), 45 (95) Kinetic measurements

The reactions were carried out in MeOH in N₂ atmosphere at 90 \degree C and, for 1 and 2 only, at 130 \degree C For every reaction 10-15 sealed vials were prepared 5 10-5 M ammonium salt and 5 10-3 M MeONa in order to be in pseudo first order conditions The vials were placed in a thermostat and taken periodically A UV spectrum of the content of every vial was recorded Pseudo first-order constants (k_1) were calculated by using the kinetic equation (1)

$$
\ln [(A_{\infty} - A_0) / (A_{\infty} - At)] = k_1 t
$$
 (1)

where A_t was absorbance at λ maximum (255 nm) To calculate the overall second-order rate constant (k₂), k₁ was divided by [MeO⁻] Partial rate coefficients (k_x , $x = a$, b, or c) were calculated by equation (2)

$$
k_n = k_2 X / (100 n_x)
$$
 (2)

where X was the percentage of product x and n_x the statistical factor that depends on the equivalent reaction sites

REFERENCES

- 1 (a) Illuminati, G, Lillocci, C J Org Chem, 1977, 42, 2201-3, (b) Cerichelli, G, Illuminati, G, Lillocci, C J Org Chem, 1980, 45, 3952-7, (c) Cospito, G, Illuminati, G, Lillocci, C, Petride, H J Org Chem, 1981, 46, 2944-7, (d) Di Vona, M L, Illuminati, G, Lillocci, C J Chem Soc, Chem Commun. 1985, 380-1
- 2 Archer, D A, Booth, H J Chem Soc, 1963, 322-30, and references cited therein
- 3 Greenberg, A. Liebman, J. F. in *Strained Organic Molecules*, Academic Press, New York, 1978, p. 66
- Mandolini, L in Advances in Physical Organic Chemistry, Vol 22, Academic Press, London, 1986, $\overline{4}$ p 18, and references cited therein
- 5 Lowry, T H, Richardson, K S in Mechanism and Theory in Organic Chemistry, 3rd Ed, Harper & Row, New York, 1987, pp 350-4
- 6 Bunnet, J F, Brotherton, T K J Org Chem, 1957, 22, 832-4
- Shim S C, Huh, K T, Park, W H Tetrahedron, 1986, 40, 1157-65 7.
- 8 Booth, H, King, F E, Parrick, J J Chem Soc, 1958, 2302-11
- 9 Partalı, V., Jolidon, S., Hansen, H. J. Helv Chim Acta, 1985, 68, 1952-60
- 10 Ahlbrecht, H., Duber, E. O., Epsztajn, J., Marcinkowski, R. M. K. Tetrahedron, 1984, 40, 1157-65